

UNITED STA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. Ħ TALIEH 05/08/97 US/853,3Z3 **EXAMINER** BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE The second secon OP BOX 10395 PAPER NUMBER CHICAGO, IL. 60610. The property of the state of the control of th ART UNIT The state of the companies of the contract of and the second of the contract of the second of the second

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

the state of the first of the state of

-1

and the first of the well-than the first of the control of the con

and the state of t

and the second s

.

The state of the state of the state of the state of the state of

Commissioner of Patents and Trademark

1- File Copy

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/853,323

Approant(s)

Talieh et al.

Examiner

Nguyen

Group Art Unit 3723

ТН	E PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a)	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	p) [X]	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date or determ	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap but	plicant is NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Apr 21, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The pr	oposed amendment(s):
	☐ wi	ll be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	X wi	Il not be entered because:
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	X	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NO.	TE: The amended claims would also raise 112 2nd paragraph issue in regard to the "adaped" language.
	— —	plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	-	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ste, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
		ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:
		fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by saminer in the final rejection.
X	For pu	rposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Claims	s allowed:
	Claims	s objected to:
	Claims	s rejected: <u>32, 34, and 36-43</u>
	The pr	oposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
	Note t	he attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)
	Other	George Nguyon
		PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 323